



## Single Electricity Market

### MODIFICATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

MEETING 98  
CONFERENCE CALL  
23 APRIL 2020  
10.00AM – 2.00PM

---

#### COPYRIGHT NOTICE

All rights reserved. This entire publication is subject to the laws of copyright. This publication may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or manual, including photocopying without the prior written permission of EirGrid plc and SONI Limited.

#### DOCUMENT DISCLAIMER

Every care and precaution is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information provided herein but such information is provided without warranties express, implied or otherwise howsoever arising and EirGrid plc and SONI Limited to the fullest extent permitted by law shall not be liable for any inaccuracies, errors, omissions or misleading information contained herein.

---

# Table of Contents

1.	Semo Update.....	5
2.	Deferred Modification Proposals .....	7
	<b>MOD_13_19 PAYMENT FOR ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN SEM FOR NON-ENERGY SERVICES.....</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>DISPATCH.....</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>MOD_14_19 INTERCONNECTOR REPRESENTATION ON THE MODIFICATIONS COMMITTEE .....</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>MOD_15_19 CLARIFICATION TO THE DESCRIPTION OF THE ROLE OF THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION BOARD UNDER THE TSC .....</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>MOD_01_20 PMEA NO ENERGY ACTION SAME DIRECTION AS NIV.....</b>	<b>10</b>
3.	New Modification Proposals .....	10
	<b>MOD_04_20 VOTING CLARIFICATION AND ADDITIONAL TRANSPARENCY.....</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>MOD_05_20 PROVISIONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT OF CEADSU .....</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>MOD_06_20 REMOVING THE REQUIREMENT FOR A MONTHLY LOAD FORECAST.....</b>	<b>12</b>
4.	AOB/Upcoming events .....	13
	Appendix 1 – Programme of Work as Discussed at Meeting 98.....	15

## Document History

Version	Date	Author	Comment
1.0	30 April 2020	Modifications Committee Secretariat	Issued to Modifications Committee for review and approval
2.0	7 May 2020	Modifications Committee Secretariat	Committee and Observer review complete

## Distribution List

Name	Organisation
Modifications Committee Members	SEM Modifications Committee
Modification Committee Observers	Attendees other than Modifications Panel in attendance at Meeting
Interested Parties	Modifications & Market Rules registered contacts

## Reference Documents

Document Name
<a href="#">Balancing Market Rules – Trading and Settlement Code &amp; Agreed Procedures</a>
<a href="#">Mod_13_19 Payment for Energy Consumption in SEM for non-energy Services Dispatch</a>
<a href="#">Mod_14_19 Interconnector representation on the Modifications Committee</a>
<a href="#">Mod_15_19 Clarification to the description of the role of the Dispute Resolution Board under the TSC</a>
<a href="#">Mod_01_20 PMEA No Energy Action Same Direction as NIV</a>
<a href="#">Mod_04_20 Voting Clarification and additional Transparency</a>
<a href="#">Mod_05_20 Provisions for the Settlement of CEADSU</a>
<a href="#">Mod_06_20 Removing the requirement for a Monthly Load Forecast</a>

## In Attendance

Name	Company	Position
<b>Modifications Committee (voting members)</b>		
Ian Mullins	Bord Gais	Supplier Member
Sinead O'Hare	Power NI PPB	Generator Member
Alan Mullane	ElectroRoute	Assetless Member
David Gascon	Bord no Mona	Generator Alternate

Rochelle Broderick	Budget Energy	Supplier Alternate
Kevin Hannafin	Viridian Power & Energy	Generator Member
Mark Phelan	Electric Ireland	Supplier Alternate
Robert McCarthy	Electricity Exchange	DSU Alternate
Andrew Burke	IWEA (Enerco)	Supplier Member
Paraic Higgins (Chair)	ESB	Generator Member
<b>Modifications Committee (Non-Voting Members)</b>		
Cormac Daly	Tynagh	Generator Member
Eamonn Boland	IWEA (Brookfield Renewable)	Supplier Alternate
Gina Kelly	CRU	RA Alternate
Karen Shiels	Uregni	RA Member
Adelle Watson	NIE Networks	MDP Member
James Long	ESB Networks	MDP Member
Christopher Goodman	SEMO	MO Member
Katia Compagnoni	SEMO	MO Alternate
Anne Trotter	EirGrid	TSO Member
Sean McParland	Viridian Power & Energy	Generator Alternate
Vivienne Price	SONI	TSO Alternate
<b>Secretariat</b>		
Sandra Linnane	SEMO	Secretariat
Esther Touhey	SEMO	Secretariat
<b>Observers</b>		
Stacy Feldmann	SSE	Observer
Thomas O'Sullivan	Aughinish	Observer
Diarmuid O'Foghlú	EirGrid	Observer
Mark Needham	SEMO	Observer
Niamh Delaney	Eirgrid	Observer
Paul McGuckin	Moyle Interconnector Ltd	Observer
Adam Fitzpatrick	CRU	Observer

Seamus Power	EirGrid	Observer
--------------	---------	----------

**1. SEMO UPDATE**

The Secretariat welcomed all to Modifications Committee Meeting 98. The minutes for Meeting 97 and Meeting 97B were read and approved. The Secretariat advised of changes to Alternate positions with Julie Anne Hannon from Bord Gais replacing Jill Murray of Bord Gais and Joseph Devlin from Power NI replacing Roy Foreman from Power NI.

Secretariat thanked Members for their co-operation in these challenging times and advised that for the foreseeable future all meetings would be held remotely. The process for the minutes and Final Recommendation Report for Emergency Meeting 97B was highlighted and assurance was given that the speedy timelines had been adhered to.

It was noted that extensions had been granted for Mod\_13\_19 and Mod\_14\_19 as the allowed time period had lapsed and this ensured that they could remain on the agenda.

The RAs provided an update on the action from Mod\_14\_19 noting that the additional Modification submission with regards to the composition of the Panel, was postponed due to an already full agenda. It was also noted that no responses were received and if Participants wished to submit any further views, they would be encouraged to do so up to the 8<sup>th</sup> of May whilst the new Modification is under development.

**Action:**

- Participants to provide comments on new Modification by 8<sup>th</sup> May 2020 - **Open**

MOD_03_18 Autoproducer Credit Cover	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEMO to provide vendor impact assessment for existing proposal – <b>Open</b></li> </ul>
MOD_13_19 Payment for Energy Consumption in SEM for non-energy Services Dispatch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEMO and the proposer to investigate feasible ways to implement a solution in the market. – <b>Ongoing</b></li> <li>• TSO to review the reasons why they can or cannot proceed with this service in DS3 – <b>Closed</b></li> <li>• MDPs and TSOs to continue to explore ways to get the data to the Balancing Market including potential manual options - <b>Ongoing</b></li> </ul>
MOD_14_19 Interconnector Representation on the Modifications Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RAs to raise Modification Proposal/Proposals considering final comments from working group final review and feedback if deemed appropriate – <b>Ongoing</b></li> <li>• SEMO to raise Modification Proposal relating to the clarification of the election voting process and transparency measures - <b>Closed</b></li> </ul>
MOD_15_19 Clarification to the description of the role of the Dispute Resolution Board under the TSC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secretariat to review interim costing for legal review based on legal points noted above – <b>Ongoing</b></li> </ul>

<p>MOD_17_19 DSU State Aid Compliance Interim Approach</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEMO take a long term action to undertake mid tariff year (summer 2020) review of the cost of the change on Imperfections Charges post implementation to track any substantial increase in costs- <b>Long Term Action</b></li> </ul>
<p>MOD_01_20 PMEA No Energy Action Same Direction as NIV</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEMO, RAs and members to review EBGL and Clean Energy Package for any interactions – <b>Ongoing</b></li> <li>• SEMO and Proposer to complete analysis on the impact on Imbalance Pricing and circulate as soon as practicable – <b>Ongoing</b></li> <li>• SEMO and TSOs to analyze impact on Premium and Discount payments and Imperfections – <b>Ongoing</b></li> <li>• Members to carry out analysis/investigation to better understand the implications of the proposal and share it with the Panel as soon as available - <b>Ongoing</b></li> </ul>
<p>MOD_02_20 Housekeeping Feb 2020</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secretariat to draft Final Recommendation Report - <b>Closed</b></li> </ul>
<p>MOD_03_20 Temporary Modification to Section B.18.3.2 to introduce an RA Approval process for all Suspension Orders</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secretariat to draft Final Recommendation Report - <b>Ongoing</b></li> </ul>
<p>MOD_06_20 Removing the Requirement for a Monthly Load Forecast</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEMO and Members to verify the use of Monthly Forecast in New Modification to the Capacity Market – <b>Closed</b></li> <li>• Proposer to present an analysis of the variation between yearly and monthly forecasts - <b>Closed</b></li> </ul>
<p>System Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEMO to check previous publishing process for Change Requests - <b>Ongoing</b></li> </ul>
<p>Settlement Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposer to quantify the current impact on over-collateralization to Participants is – <b>Ongoing</b></li> <li>• MDPs to validate and verify processes for Meter data for new units – <b>Ongoing</b></li> <li>• Proposer to review the Traded Not Delivered calculation to verify if it accounts of Dispatch Instructions – <b>Closed</b></li> <li>• Secretariat to circulate slides for this presentation - <b>Closed</b></li> </ul>
<p>Settlement Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MDPs to validate and verify processes for Meter data for new units - <b>Ongoing</b></li> </ul>

## 2. DEFERRED MODIFICATION PROPOSALS

### MOD\_13\_19 PAYMENT FOR ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN SEM FOR NON-ENERGY SERVICES DISPATCH

The Proposer provided a background to this Modification summarising that there was an issue around dispatching units for non-energy reasons and the recovery of associated costs. The Proposer provided some examples on where energy should be accounted for in the market and advised that this Modification could be applicable to other services in the future like Wind units on zero MW outputs providing reactive power for example.

It was confirmed that a lot of discussion had occurred between System Operators and MDPs on this and ways were being investigated of getting into the market including a possible manual workaround. It was noted that although they were looking to have this implemented as soon as possible, the uncertainty on the final solution and the lead times for any system changes required that an interim solution be put in place. Discussions with the RAs would be necessary and a tender may be proposed for these services with an industry consultation for wind farms especially. The recommendation was that the Modification and the interim process could run in parallel.

A Generator Member and Supplier Member queried the tender and the timelines. The Proposer noted that because of having to encompass existing units and units that would provide this mode it was likely that the tender, which will be run by EirGrid, would take 12 months approximately. This would include the discussion with the RAs, consultation and running of the tender. How it progresses would also depend on the outcome of discussions with the RAs.

A Generator Member pointed out that this Modification needs to be progressed and a 12 month timeline for a Modification to move forward is a long period of time. The Proposer appreciated the comment but noted that there was a lot of work done already and finding a solution was paramount. The proposer is of the opinion that it would be beneficial to maintain the Modification active as a solution could be devised once some of the initial obstacles were addressed, leaving then time for impact assessments and implementation.

A TSO Member explained they were conscious of other Modifications being impact assessed but noted that finding an interim solution will help with the thoughts of finding the ultimate solution. It was appreciated that the continuous extending of this Modification was not ideal but it was worth giving it some time for analysis and solutions on the understanding that progress on the design of the change would be shared at subsequent meetings to ensure that the proposal is developed in a timely fashion. The Committee agreed to the Modification Proposal being deferred but under the clear understanding that the Members must see more progress made and the Proposer must provide more clarity and information in advance of and at the next meeting.

#### *Decision*

---

This Proposal was deferred.

#### **Actions:**

- Proposer to provide more clarity and information on both the interim approach and enduring Modification Proposal for the next Meeting 99 - **Open**

**MOD\_14\_19 INTERCONNECTOR REPRESENTATION ON THE MODIFICATIONS COMMITTEE**

The Proposer gave a brief reminder of the main points of the Modification noting that the outcome of the two previous Working Groups had addressed other issues which the RAs were going to raise separate Modifications on.

The Proposer advised of previous debates around a divergence of opinion on how Interconnectors would be represented. As there are only 2 Interconnectors in the Market the Proposer requested more time to address this concern and proposed to defer this Modification and develop it further, requesting Participants assistance in finding solutions to this concern. The Chair noted that the voting could be addressed with a separate Modification in time for the next election round should the Mod be successful, but in terms of the representation of Interconnector Owners, the Panel should have enough information to recommend a decision.

A discussion ensued on the best possible process for this Modification and with guidance provided by the Secretariat, it was decided that a vote would be cast.

*Decision*

This Proposal was Recommended for Rejection.

Recommended for Rejection by Unanimous Vote		
Ian Mullins	Supplier Member	Reject
Sinead O'Hare	Generator Member	Reject
Alan Mullane	Assetless Member	Reject
David Gascon	Generator Alternate	Reject
Rochelle Broderick	Supplier Alternate	Reject
Kevin Hannafin	Generator Member	Reject
Mark Phelan	Supplier Alternate	Reject
Robert McCarthy	DSU Alternate	Reject
Andrew Burke	Supplier Member	Reject
Paraic Higgins	Generator Member	Reject

**Actions:**

- Secretariat to draft Final Recommendation Report - **Open**

**MOD\_15\_19 CLARIFICATION TO THE DESCRIPTION OF THE ROLE OF THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION BOARD UNDER THE TSC**

The Secretariat provided an update on the legal costing action which arose from this Modification. A summary was given of the steps that were undertaken and the indicative cost for procuring this process was



confirmed at approximately £45,000. It was also noted that the party selected to provide such legal advice, although procured by SEMO, would advise the Modifications Committee as a whole. The Secretariat advised that should the Committee request this procurement, the process requires a final approval to be given by the RAs to proceed. Should the decision be made to procure, the completed legal response would be submitted in writing to the Members followed by a limited review period for Members to raise any queries. On completion of this review period the Modification Proposal will then be voted on. It was stressed that this will not be a lengthy process nor will legal representation be made in person at the Committee Meetings. Secretariat advised that the Committee would be kept updated at all times.

A DSU Alternate and Generator Member both agreed that there was a need to proceed with legal advice in this case as there would be elements to this debate that would be outside the expertise of the Committee. An Assetless Member declared that he was not comfortable with that level of expenditure on this particular item especially under the current circumstances. A Generator Member also questioned the price of the legal advice and if a more competitive quote could be sought. The Secretariat advised that this quote process provided an indicative costing and stressed that this was a sizeable sum and that if Members decided to request the procurement of legal advice it was done so on the basis of a sum of approximately £45,000. Secretariat explained that a legal framework would be used and that the Secretariat would ensure that the cost be minimised as much as possible. Secretariat advised that this quote was based on a detailed description of the work required. Secretariat again stressed that this was a considerable figure and reminded Members that they should at all times be prudent and consider any procurement decision made especially under the current challenging economic climate. The Chairperson made the decision to go to a vote on whether to proceed with this legal advice.

Proceed with Legal Advice by Majority Vote		
Ian Mullins	Supplier Member	Yes
Sinead O'Hare	Generator Member	Yes
Alan Mullane	Assetless Member	No
David Gascon	Generator Alternate	Yes
Rochelle Broderick	Supplier Alternate	Yes
Kevin Hannafin	Generator Member	Yes
Mark Phelan	Supplier Alternate	Yes
Robert McCarthy	DSU Alternate	Yes
Andrew Burke	Supplier Member	Yes
Paraic Higgins (Chair)	Generator Member	Yes

**Decision**

This Proposal was deferred.

**Actions:**

- Secretariat to draft business case to procure legal advice and issue to RAs for approval - **Open**

---

### MOD\_01\_20 PMEA NO ENERGY ACTION SAME DIRECTION AS NIV

The Market Operator Member gave a presentation on data collated over a 5 day period noting that this was shared with the Proposer, who was not able to attend, and that further data would be gathered over the next few weeks. It was also advised that they were not at a point in time where the RAs could make a decision if the Modification was compliant with the Electricity Balancing Guideline (EBGL).

The RAs provided an update on EBGL advising that they were currently working with SEMO analysts in weekly workshops on EBGL compliance. It was confirmed that the Network Code update was scheduled to be issued for July and this would show the timelines with the TSOs. There would also potentially be a webinar or other forum on compliance work on EBGL during July based on current workshops with TSOs.

A Generator Member asked if ENTSOE were still consulting on EBGL and RA Alternate advised that they were still considering some elements. The RAs also noted that it would be a number of months before compliance analysis with EBGL would be completed and that discussions with ACER were also ongoing on the matter. A potential webinar session for the beginning of July was mentioned to provide more up to date information.

MO Member advised that, in their view, more analysis was needed before a vote could be taken on this Modification. It was confirmed that the timelines for implementation in systems are long, noting that the scope for the April 2021 release would likely be closed before this could be included. It was also stated that the next subsequent release would be October 2021, noting that it would have to go through the usual prioritisation and scoping process should the Modification to be approved. A TSO Member welcomed a larger set of data noting that based on the limited set available there would be changes to both discount and premium payments, but that there was not enough data yet available to determine a good approximation of the overall impact on Imperfections.

The Chair noted that clarification on EBGL compliance was essential in this case. MO Member gave assurance that more analysis over the next few months would also help to indicate if there was likely to be a bias between the changes in premium and discount payments to indicate whether, in aggregate, there is evidence of an increasing or decreasing impact for Imperfections based on historic trends. Assetless Member also gave support to further analysis stating it would provide clarification on compliance with EBGL and they indicated that their preference was for the proposal to be considered on the basis of the principles as guided by EBGL..

#### *Decision*

---

This Proposal was deferred.

### 3. NEW MODIFICATION PROPOSALS

#### MOD\_04\_20 VOTING CLARIFICATION AND ADDITIONAL TRANSPARENCY

The Proposer provided a background to this Modification noting that it arose from the discussion at the Working Groups for Mod\_14\_19 which highlighted that some areas of the election process were unclear in the Trading & Settlement Code and this Modification would provide more transparency.

The Proposer noted changes to drafting in Step 5 F&G because there was a suggestion of preferential vote ability to vote for multiple preferences. With a consensus for a simple majority to be applied in all vote counts step 5G would be deleted and Step F would be tidied up.

It was also noted that the last step in point F relating to a tie may cause confusion so a decision was made to change the reference from 'may' to 'shall' which would give more certainty to a second round of voting. This legal drafting change could be captured in the Final Recommendation Report.

A discussion ensued on the changes listed in the Modification Proposal with a Generator Member highlighting issues with Step 2 and Step 5 and to clarify those the introduction of the preamble '*Notwithstanding Step 2*' would read it more clearly. The Proposer also agreed that the cancellation of the first sentence in Step 5 could be reversed. There was disapproval also with the RAs having power to select if there was a tie and requests were made to add some conditions akin to similar processes in other fora.

The Proposer provided assurance that there would be initially a second round of elections and by having an RA step, this would avoid the process continuing unnecessarily. A TSO Member noted that this process is similar in the Grid Code.

A Generator Alternate asked if Generators could nominate a candidate for both Generator and Supplier seats or just the former. It was advised that Step 2 is designed to be at Party level therefore only one candidate per election term can be brought forward and the Party will have to choose which side of the business they would represent. The Proposer also confirmed that each Participants (PT code i.e. Generator, Supplier, Assetless or DSU) could have one vote for each of their respective category. A request was made to provide a worked example before elections take place. The Secretariat accepted an action to have an example in the upcoming election email instead of a change to the legal drafting.

The Chair expressed concern that their organisation set up might affect their current representation at the Panel but it was confirmed that this Modification does not alter the current practice and the Company in question would have had to have two Parties to have two representative at the Panel. SEMO confirmed that both parties have separate PY numbers so this should not be an issue.

The Proposer gave a recap of the changes that would be made to the legal drafting. There was consensus that a version 2 of this proposal would be beneficial instead of covering those in the FRR as there were a number of changes to be made to the legal drafting.

### Decision

This Proposal was deferred.

### Actions:

- Proposer to take on feedback and draft a second version with the following updates to current legal drafting:
    - Changes to first paragraph in Step 5 will become: '*Notwithstanding Step 2, a Participant with multiple categories can cast a vote for each category in any given election.*'
    - Deletion in Step 5 (f) first paragraph will be reversed and will now read: '*(f) In the event of 2 or more candidate nominations for 1 relevant Participant Member position, voters have one vote only.*'
    - Last paragraph of Step 5 (f) will now read as follow: '*In the event of a tie, one additional round of voting shall occur for the affected position.*'
- **Open**

### MOD\_05\_20 PROVISIONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT OF CEADSU

The Proposer provided an overview to this Modification noting that it was a follow up to Mod\_17\_19 relating to DSUs. This Modification Proposal seeks to ensure that the CEADSU payment/charge is included in all of the necessary downstream provisions.

The Proposer confirmed that the current provisions would not be rewritten and the new charge provisions are instead listed in the legal drafting.

A DSU Alternate gave support for this Modification and questioned if it would have any impact on the October release timeline for implementation of the new charge and related provisions. The Proposer gave assurance that this was followed up ahead of time to make sure the vendor had already considered these measures in their implementation so that there was no impact on this timeline..

**Decision**

This Proposal was Recommended for Approval.

Recommended for Approval by Unanimous Vote		
Ian Mullins	Supplier Member	Approve
Sinead O'Hare	Generator Member	Approve
Alan Mullane	Assetless Member	Approve
David Gascon	Generator Alternate	Approve
Rochelle Broderick	Supplier Alternate	Approve
Kevin Hannafin	Generator Member	Approve
Mark Phelan	Supplier Alternate	Approve
Robert McCarthy	DSU Alternate	Approve
Andrew Burke	Supplier Member	Approve
Paraic Higgins	Generator Member	Approve

**Actions:**

- Secretariat to draft Final Recommendation Report - **Open**

**MOD\_06\_20 REMOVING THE REQUIREMENT FOR A MONTHLY LOAD FORECAST**

The Proposer delivered a presentation on this Modification Proposal confirming the aim was to remove the Monthly Load Forecast and maintaining the annual load forecast. It was confirmed that the 4 day load forecast would still continue to be automatically published. It was explained that originally the monthly load forecast fed into Capacity calculation for the previous market and this is no longer required in I-SEM. In fact there is no reference in the Trading & Settlement Code or either Grid Code.

The Proposer delivered analysis on the difference between annual and monthly load forecasts noting that the annual was 6.2% accurate and the monthly 0.5%. The Capacity Modification CMC\_09\_19 was referred to with the Proposer advising that it states only the annual forecast is needed.

The Proposer gave assurance that if the monthly load forecast was removed the functionality would remain so that if it was required in the future it could be activated again.

A Generator Member made a point that forecasts are hugely useful right now for monitoring errors and having both the annual and monthly forecast would lower the risk of errors occurring. TSO Observer

advised that the Annual Forecast is prepared and published in August and it is based around the Capacity Statement which is 8 months out of date by that stage. So it could potentially be improved by using more up to date data.

Another Generator Member agreed with the points raised noting that the information on secondary trading was useful whether it was referred to or not before removing this feed it should be beneficial to wait for secondary trading to be occur to see how useful this file was in assessing risk.

A TSO observer suggested that if the Monthly Load could be manually published to the TSO publications instead of the SEMO website, this would reduce the workload considerably. The suggestion was noted with a view that this could be an acceptable compromise provided that individual IT systems could manage the connection to a different section of the website.

A discussion ensued around the possibility of suspending the monthly forecast until the consultation on CMC\_09\_19 is complete. A suspension of 3 months was proposed or the suggestion of continuing to publish it manually provided this was compatible with Participant's IT systems. Also discussions ensued on whether these new proposed conditions should be formally submitted as a new Modification with temporary effect to maintain compliance from the TSO. It was accepted that if the file would continue to be produced and uploaded to the TSO pages of the website this should still be considered as compliant or at least partially compliant to the T&SC requirements. The Panel agreed this was a reasonable compromise pending confirmation of their IT providers. The TSO observer agreed with this approach and to test the process with the next publication.

### *Decision*

This Proposal was deferred.

#### **Actions:**

- TSO to provide upload Monthly Load Forecast file to new web page location and provide this to Participants – **Open**
- Participants to test connection for automatic download of test file – **Open**
- RAs to check with Compliance team whether the changes in publication result in a non-compliance, partial compliance or full compliance - **Open**
- Participants to review this Modification Proposal at the end of the year to consider whether the Monthly Load Forecast should be retained for forecast assessment in Secondary Trading. – **Open long term**

## **4. AOB/UPCOMING EVENTS**

SEMO provided an update on Market Systems Development. Confirmation was provided that the consultation for the Market System Development Plan would be completed in May and that the Market Development Roadmap would also continue to be published.

SEMO went through the slides and delivered an overall synopsis on upcoming releases. Release E has been approved and will proceed on Tuesday evening 28<sup>th</sup> April. This includes Mod\_05\_19 with all testing successfully complete. It was advised that real time issues in control centres would be the only situation that could stop the process.

SEMO provided confirmation that Release F is scheduled to be deployed into production in October with 4 Modifications included. A full date for deployment is not currently available as yet.

---

Release G is set for April 2021 which could include 5 Modifications. SEMO noted that the scope had not closed yet. They are currently working closely with vendors and commercial discussions to negotiate a new support agreement were taking place in the background. There has been a stabilisation to a twice yearly release programme which is set to continue.

A Generator Member looked for clarification on the prioritisation of Modifications. SEMO advised that this is a combination of man hours available, materiality of the Modification, other legislative requirements etc. and this work is done with the vendor in parallel with commercial conversations. Later releases would have more hours assigned and the reduction of long standing defects would leave scope to more changes to be included and the timelines for coming back with Impact assessments and query responses significantly improved.. The MO Member noted that priorities as indicated by Committee Members were also considered during the prioritisation process.

The Secretariat thanked all for the attendance to Meeting 98 and noted that the next meeting will take place on Thursday, 18<sup>th</sup> June 2020.

**APPENDIX 1 – PROGRAMME OF WORK AS DISCUSSED AT MEETING 98**

**Status as at 23 April 2020**

**Modification Proposals ‘Recommended for Approval’ without System impacts**

Title	Sections Modified	Sent
Mod_02_20 Housekeeping Feb 2020	Part B B.12.1.3, F.2.1.8, G.2.10.2, G.2.10.8, H.7, H.8, H11 Part B Glossary, Part B Appendix O.17, Part B AP09 2.11.2 and AP12 3.7, Part C (note)	Sent for RA decision – 19/03/20

**Modification Proposals ‘Recommended for Approval’ with System impacts**

Mod_03_18 Autoproducer Credit Cover	G.12.4.4, G.14.7.3, G.14.7.3A, G.14.7.4, G.14.7.5, G.14.7.6, G.14.7.7 G.14.8.1, G.14.10.1, G.14.10.2, G.14.10.3, G.14.10.4, G.14.15.6 and G.15.1.1	Sent for RA decision 07/01/20
-------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------

**Modification Proposals ‘Recommended for Rejection’**

Mod_03_20 Temporary Modification to Section B.18.3.2 to introduce an RA Approval process for all Suspension Orders	Section B.18.3.2 Agreed Procedure 18	FRR to Committee for review on 23/04/20
--	--------------------------------------	---

**RA Decision ‘Further Work Required’**

N/A	N/A	N/A
-----	-----	-----

**RA Decision Approved Modifications with System Impacts**

Mod_03_19 Amended application of the Market Back Up Price if an Imbalance Price(s) fails to circulate V2	E.2.2.4 and E.5.1.3	Effective on System Implementation
Mod_05_19 Amendment to Uninstructed Imbalance Charge (CUNIMB) to correct for Negative Price Scenarios	F.9.4.1 Part B Glossary List of Variables and Parameters	5 July 2019
Mod_07_19 Correction to No Load Cost “and” vs “or”	F.11.2.3	3 May 2019
Mod_08_19 Clarification to Intraday Difference Quantity and Payment	F.20.2.3	27 Sept 2019
Mod_09_19 Removal of locational constraints from Imbalance Pricing calculation	Appendix N.1	2 May 2019
Mod_10_19 Removal of negative QBOAs related to dispatchable priority dispatch units from the imbalance price	Part B Section D New Paragraph D.4.4.12	Oct 2020 (possible system implementation)
Mod_11_19 Correction to the determination of COP and clarification of CNLR	F.11.2.5, F.11.3.1	27 Sept 2019

Mod_12_19 System Service Flag for Demand Site Units	F.18.6	13 Nov 2019
Mod_17_19 DSU State Aid Compliance Interim Approach	F and H	1 October 2020
Mod_19_19 Determining use of Complex Commercial Offer Data in Settlement when Required Information is not Available	F.3.3.2	Effective on System Implementation
Mod_20_19 Changing Day-ahead Difference Quantity to Day-ahead Trade Quantity in Within-day Difference Charge Calculations	F.18.5	Effective on System Implementation
Mod_21_19 Loss Adjustment Factor for Interconnectors	F	Effective on System Implementation
Mod_22_19 Correction of QUNDELLOTOL calculations to convert TOLUG and TOLOG to MWh	F	Effective on System Implementation
<b>RA Decision Approved Modifications with no System Impacts</b>		
Mod_01_19 Negative Interest in the SEM	Section 6.16-6.19 & 6.35 AP-17 Banking and Participant Payments  Section G.1.4.3 to G.1.4.5 & G.1.5.1  AP-17 Banking and Participant Payments	2 May 2019
Mod_16_19 Codification of TSO FNDDS Methodology and System Service Flag for DSU Settlement	F.2.7	28 February 2020
Mod_18_19 Clarification to apply Recoverable Start Up Costs to DSUs	F.11.2.2 /4/6	28 February 2020
Mod_23_19 Modification to allow the Market Operator to seek relief from an obligation under Section E.3 of the TSC in exceptional circumstances (until 1 January 2021)	H.6, B.14, E.3	07 February 2020
Mod_24_19 Amendments to Unsecured Bad Debt and Suspension Provisions Related to Supplier of Last Resort	G.2.7 Agreed Procedure 15	28 February 2020
Mod_33_18 Update to Unit Under Test Process	Part B Section D.7.3  Part B Appendices F and J  Part B Glossary Definitions related to Under Test status  Part B Agreed Procedure 4	2 July 2019



	Sections 2.4 and 3	
<b>RA Decision Rejected</b>		
Mod_38_18 Limitation of Capacity Market Difference Payments to Metered Demand	F.20.1.1	12 November 2019
<b>AP Notifications</b>		
Mod_04_19 Running Indicative Settlement on all days	2.5.1	AP Notification sent 29/11/19
<b>Withdrawal Notifications</b>		
Mod_32_18 Removal of exposure for in merit generator units against BOA	Appendix N	05 July 2019
Mod_06_19 Determination of the Marginal Energy Action Price where no energy is available in the Net Imbalance Volume	Section E.3.4	17 October 2019
<b>Modification Proposal Extensions</b>		
Mod_03_18 Autoproducer Credit Cover	G4 to G15	Extension approved 04/07/19
Mod_13_19 Payment for Energy Consumption in SEM for non-energy Service Dispatch	T&SC Part A/Part B/Part C Appendices Part A/Part B Glossary Part A/Part B/Part C Agreed Procedures Part A/Part B	Extension approved 09/04/20
Mod_14_19 Interconnector Representation on the Modifications Committee	T&SC B.17.3, B.17.7, B.17.8 and Glossary AP12 3.7 and Appendix 1	Extension approved 09/04/20
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Meeting 99 – 18 June 2020 – Conference Call</b></li> </ul>		