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# SEMO Update

## **I. Secretariat update**

Tracked changes published in version 2.0 of the Minutes from Meeting 43 held on 31 July 2012 were read and approved. The final V3.0 is now published on the SEMO website.

Secretariat announced the result of the annual elections. Please see below table for the results.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Successful Candidate** | **Member** | **Alternate** | **Company** |
| Generator Member | Ian Luney | Brian Mongan | AES |
| Generator Member  | Niamh Quinn | Gill Bradley | ESBI |
| Supplier Member | Iain Wright | Emeka Chukwureh | Airtricity |
| Supplier Member | William Carr | Sean Doolin | Electric Ireland |
| DSU Member | Patrick Liddy | Aidan McDonnell | Activation Energy |

The Secretariat Programme of Work was acknowledged, see appendix 1 for further detail.

## **II.** [**CMS**](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_08_12%20MIUNs.docx) **Update**

SEMO representative presented the CMS update. All approved Modifications Proposals as at the associated release cut-off date have been allocated to the SEM R2.1.0: October 2012 Release. The following seven Modification Proposals with Systems Impacts are included in the scope for this release.

|  |
| --- |
| **SEM R2.1.0 - October 2012 Release – Approved Modification Proposals** |
| Mod\_40\_10 | Differentiation between Dwell Times and Dwell Trigger Points while Ramping up and Ramping down  |
| Mod\_42\_10 | Changes to the Single Ramp-Up Rate and the Single Ramp-Down Rate calculation |
| Mod\_01\_11 | UI Payments for Generator Units |
| Mod\_06\_11 | Increasing maximum daily submission number and automating cancellation of Settlement Reallocation Agreements |
| Mod\_10\_11 | Interconnector Under Test |
| Mod\_12\_11 | Interconnector Unit Loss Adjustment when Exporting |
| Mod\_21\_11 | UI Payments for generator units constrained on |

SEMO Member advised that the release cut-off date for the April 2013 release to the Central Market Systems was Friday, June 22nd, 2012. Two Modification Proposals with Systems Impacts have received RA Approval for the SEM R2.2.0: April 2013 Release.

|  |
| --- |
|  **SEM R2.2.0 - April 2013 Release – Approved Modification Proposals** |
| Mod\_17\_11 Clarifying the requirement to provide Dispatch Instruction for Generator Units |
| Mod\_03\_12 Alignment of T&SC with Revised VAT Arrangements |

SEMO Member advised that the release cut-off date for the October 2013 release to the Central Market Systems is Friday February 22nd, 2013. All approved Modifications Proposals will be allocated to this release (subject to available capacity).

Generator Member raised a query regarding future releases subsequent to the April 2013 release. SEMO Member advised that preliminary discussions on this issue have been had, further stating that additional discussion is necessary. SEMO Member advised that there are insufficient hours left in the vendor contract to cover the entire April 2013 Release which includes the VAT mod. However the remaining hours to cover the April release can be purchased.

## **III. SEM R2.0.0: Intra-Day Trading Release**

SEMO Member provided an update on the 11th Scheduled Release which took place on 21 July 2012.

The following approved Modification Proposals were included in the release Mod\_18\_10: Intra-Day Trading and Mod 43\_10: Variable Price-Taker Generator Units and Firm Access.

* The release was successfully implemented on schedule overnight on Fri 20th / Sat 21st July.
* Central Market Systems were available for Trading as scheduled at 8am on July 21st.
* All project milestones were completed to quality and schedule (see CMS Slides for more detail).
* New capability embedded successfully: All Ex-Ante schedules (EA1, EA2 & WD1) published to schedule post deployment.

Generator Member queried as to whether any major issues were identified during Go-Live. SEMO Member advised that no major issues had been identified, although there were some defects which would most likely be addressed by a small release in August. Generator Alternate advised that in his experience the release was not as successful as outlined by SEMO Member and expressed concern that the general public reports for EA1 and EA2 are not available. Observer further commented that it is not possible to retrieve data for EA1 and EA2 and that these reports are populating WD1 data. Observer advised that this issue has been logged with SEMO IT as a query. Generator Member stated that SEMO IT advised that a software fix for the issue would be in place by October 2012. Generator Member advised that the issues raised were large in terms of time necessary to resolve the issues. Generator Alternate further noted that Settlement over the period July 16 – 21st was incorrect as incorrect Dispatch Instructions were being issued to wrong units.

MO Member noted that SEMO IT were engaging in daily Conference Calls with Participants to address any issues that may have arisen during Market Trial and following IDT Go-Live. Observer questioned the quality of testing during Market Trial and stressed that Market Trial should have resembled the live environment. SEMO Member received update from SEMO IT personnel during the Meeting who confirmed that the intention is to schedule an interim patch release on 23 August 2012 to fix any defects which occurred during Go-Live and/or Market Trial. SEMO Member advised that the majority of Participants provided good feedback regarding Market Trial and that given the size of the release, the issues outlined were relatively minor and proposed resolutions had been agreed. Chair suggested that Participants document their concerns in an email and issue it to the SEMO Member by Friday, following which, it may be appropriate to hold a lessons learned session with SEMO to address Participant concerns.

Action

* Participants to provide feedback to MO Member (Niamh Delaney) or Secretariat by COB Friday 3rd August. Feedback review session to take place between SEMO IT and Participants affected by IDT issues.

# Review of Actions

|  |
| --- |
| **Action Items from Meeting 42** |
| **Mod ID** | **Action** | **Comments** |
| Mod\_13\_12 Housekeeping 5 | * Legal drafting of FRR to exclude AP1 change
 | * Closed
 |
| Mod\_11\_12 Proposal to Extend the Definition of Special Units to Include Compressed Air Energy Storage | * Working Group to be convened
 | * Closed

Working Group scheduled for 14th August 2012 in BelfastSEC advised of comments on the TOR- see deferred items for further detail. |

# Deferred Modification Proposals

## I**.** [Mod\_11\_12](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_08_12%20MIUNs.docx) Proposal to extend the definition of Special Units to include Compressed Air Energy Storage

*Proposer: Gaelectric*

Chair queried as to whether there were any comments on the proposed Terms of Reference (ToR). Generator Member expressed concern that the scope of the Working Group (WG) was too narrow and was of the view that, at Meeting 42, it was decided that the WG examine Special Units as opposed to limiting the scope to CAES. Secretariat advised that the ToR underwent a two week review period and comments were received only from the proposer. Generator Member expressed the view that a broader scope would be more beneficial. DSU Representative advised that although there is merit in having a WG on all new technologies, that is essentially rethinking how the market works, and that there will be too many issues under consideration to result in a productive WG.

Chair advised that one of the goals of the Working Group should be to establish the characteristics of the unit, and whether it can be mapped to something currently existing in the T&SC. SEMO member advised that bi-lateral meetings have taken place between SEMO, Gaelectric and the SO and that 3 options had been discussed:

* Pumped storage
* Predictable Price Maker
* New type of Special Unit specific to CAES.

Chair advised that the Modifications Committee have a duty when considering the cost of implementing changes to SEMO, when it is the customer who essentially pays for the changes, resources must be used wisely.

Proposer clarified that the reason for such a narrow scope on the ToR is that Gaelectric are representing their own interests and they were expectant of additional comments from the Committee on the ToR. Proposer further advised that Gaelectric are open to broadening the scope to other technologies, and proposed that the terms of reference would define that such technologies should be at a stage that they are proven commercially, with an MEC of greater than de-minimis, and have the ability to generate for at least one Trading Period. GES advised that the representatives of those technologies should be present at the WG and must set out issues specific to their own units.

Secretariat sought clarification as to who would approve the ToR as the process had diverged from standard practice. Chair advised that as the Working Group is a sub function of the Modifications Committee, it is the Committee that will have the final decision on a Terms of Reference.

Actions

* Final Comments on [draft Terms of Reference](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/ToR_11_12_GEScomments.doc) to be issued to Secretariat by COB Friday 03 August 2012

Decision

* The Modification Proposal was deferred pending the outcome of the Working Group.

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

# New Modifications Proposals

## I. [Mod\_14\_12](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_09_12%20ASRs.docx) Reference to MO Status for VTOD

*Proposer: TSO*

TSO Alternate presented slides outlining their proposal which seeks to ensure that the MO responsibility to match the status of SO Approval or Rejection of a Validation Data Set in the SEM systems is outlined in the T&SC..

Chair queried as to why the 10 Working Day timeline for SO validation could not be reduced to a 9 Working Day timeline. TSO Alternate advised that this is the timeline required by the SO in order to effectively conduct the level and quality of work necessary.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval.

|  |
| --- |
| **Mod\_14\_12: Recommended for Approval by unanimous vote** |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Alternate  | Approve |
| Iain Wright -Chair | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Julie-Anne Hannon | Supplier Alternate | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Niamh Quinn | Generator Member | Approve |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Approve |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Approve |

## II. [Mod\_17\_12](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_09_12%20ASRs.docx) Report on Offered Capacity in Implicit Auctions

*Proposer: EirGrid TSO*

*(Order of presentation of Modifications Proposals was changed during the Meeting).*

TSO representative presented slides outlining the proposal. Proposer advised that the data is required under Transparency in the Congestion Management Guidelines (Regulation (EC) no. 714/2009 Annex I.5 Transparency). Proposer further advised that this is essential information for Interconnector Users in determining if and where trading opportunities are available in EA2 and WD1.

Chair queried as to what the cost incurred by implementation of the proposal will be. Proposer advised that this has not yet been assessed.

CER Alternate queried as to whether the possibility of implementing the change through the Auction Management Platform (AMP) had been explored. Proposer advised that it is the SO’s preference to implement the change on the general public website, as the Market Participant Interface (MPI) is a more robust platform than the AMP for delivering the information. Proposer further noted that there may be a publication time lag if it were to be published via the AMP. Proposer stated that the requirement was to publish this an hour in advance of the implicit auction [This is actually a future proposal. The current requirement was advised subsequent to the meeting as per 5.6 of Annex I of the Regulation: “All relevant information shall be available for the market in due time for the negotiation of all transactions (such as the time of negotiation of annual supply contracts for industrial customers or the time when bids have to be sent into organised markets).”]

Generator Alternate queried as to whether this information is required for a Participant to be bidding for Interconnector (IC) usage under EA2? Proposer clarified that if in-merit bids are in excess of Offered Capacity it would be a congested auction. Proposer further clarified that the data gives an indication of any potential for congestion for example, in EA2 if in-merit bids are in excess of Offered Capacity the auction will be congested.

Chair commented that the publication of this data is an obligation under European Legislation.

Generator Alternate queried as to why the proposal has been drafted to utilise SEMO systems, when the data is a TSO obligation. Proposer clarified that it is regarding timeliness of the information; as if the TSO published the data, there would be a time delay on the information.

DSU Member queried as to whether the IC usage appears on the Market Schedule that’s published, and whether it would be possible to discern whether there’s availability from the amount of MW used.

SEMO Member advised that the publication of MIUNs was discussed during the design of Intra-Day Trading and the preference was to leave the publication at D+1.

DSU Member stated that if the IC exporting 100 MW that does that not appear in the Market Schedule. SEMO Member reiterated that the MIUNs are only published on D+1; therefore Participants will see their own MIUNs, but not those of other Participants before that.

CER Alternate raised issue of transparency and queried as to whether the report should be published in advance of the Trading Windows. Proposer stated that the report will be completed after closure of the EA1 gate.

Generator Member deemed the proposal useful, however further stated that it is important to have full detail of cost before approving it.

Chair questioned why this particular change is being pursued while other changes may be required in line with European legislation for ICs. Chair also questioned if the information should be published in advance of Trading Windows to ensure transparency. Cost of implementation was identified as an issue bearing in mind that 2013 is the earliest date the change could be implemented in the SEM systems.

MO Member noted that implementing a change outside of the SEM systems would require an Impact Assessment.

Chair commented that it would be useful to examine the level of bidding and level of market activity. Chair expressed concern that it implementation of proposal would incur a cost that may not be utilised by Participants given that the information would only be of use for half an hour before Gate Closure and noted that Participants may be more concerned with submitting bids rather than assessing the information published during that timeframe.

Chair also questioned the cost of non-compliance. Generator Alternate expressed the view that feedback on the cost of implementation, result of an impact assessment and the cost of non-compliance need to be ascertained prior to voting on the proposal. Proposer confirmed that no discussion on lack of compliance had taken place to date.

SO Alternate and Supplier Alternate both expressed the view that the report would be beneficial.

Actions

* TSO to assess the impact of non-compliance
* TSO to assess the option of implementing change through the Auction Management Platform
* SEMO to Impact Assess the change
* SEMO to assess the possibility of a website publication
* Interconnector Users to formulate views on importance of change

Decision

* The Modification Proposal was deferred.

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

## III. [Mod\_18\_12](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_09_12%20ASRs.docx) Constraint Payments Calculation for Interconnector Residual Capacity Units

*Proposer: EirGrid TSO*

Proposer presented slides outlining the proposal. Proposer advised that the interim calculation will be in place until Mod\_ 12\_11 *Interconnector Unit Loss Adjustment When Exporting* is implemented in October 2012.

Generator Alternate commented that the proposal is moving from price for each trade and questioned whether this is removing transparency. Proposer clarified that transparency will be maintained via the report issued out of the AMP, and that it will also be provided through National Grid on their BM reporting website.

Proposer advised that the implementation of this change should cost nothing as it is free within the SEM systems.

Generator Member queried as to when the SO Trades paper will be published. Proposer advised that the exact date of publication is not known but that it will be published on the EirGrid website, and should also be available on the SONI website.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval.

|  |
| --- |
| **Mod\_18\_12: Recommended for Approval by unanimous vote** |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Alternate  | Approve |
| Iain Wright -Chair | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Julie-Anne Hannon | Supplier Alternate | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Niamh Quinn | Generator Member | Approve |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Approve |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Approve |

## IV. [Mod\_15\_12](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_09_12%20ASRs.docx) Inclusion of ATC limit slack variables and associated penalty cost Parameters

*Proposer: SEMO*

SEMO Member presented slides outlining proposal which arose out of UUC Certification review for the IDT systems release.

Supplier Alternate raised a query in relation to internal penalty costs. SEMO Member advised that internal penalty costs are applied to slack variables, in case an infeasible solution exists, there exists a precedence in order of which constraints can be broken in order to return a result rather than no result. MO Member noted that a case may exist to define MEATC and MIATC as hard constraints; this may be discussed with the vendor at a later stage if deemed necessary. Chair commented on numbering error in proposal.

Actions

* FRR to amend numbering mark-up in legal drafting section.

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval subject to legal drafting.

|  |
| --- |
| **Mod\_15\_12: Recommended for Approval by unanimous vote** |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Alternate  | Approve |
| Iain Wright -Chair | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Julie-Anne Hannon | Supplier Alternate | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Niamh Quinn | Generator Member | Approve |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Approve |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Approve |

## V. [Mod\_16\_12](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_09_12%20ASRs.docx) Inconsistent Technical Capabilities when hIGHER oPERATING lIMIT IS ZERO AND LESS THAN lOWER oPERATING lIMIT

*Proposer: SEMO*

SEMO Member outlined the proposal which seeks to correct an inconsistency between the systems and the Code. DSU Member raised a query regarding the second table outlined in the explanation section of the proposal, questioning the reason why, if the Higher Operating Limit (HOL) is moved to 50, the system still increases it up to 100. SEMO Member advised that ideally quantities submitted should reflect actual capability and the clauses in N.29 cover cases where there are conflicting characteristics.

Chair queried as to whether this issue would become evident during bid validation? SEMO Member clarified that it is not a validation of bids as such, it is more so a submission of Minimum Stable Generation and Forecast Availability. Chair queried as to whether there are Capacity Payments impacts. SEMO Member advised that as Capacity Payments are based on Eligible Availability, there should not be any impacts.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval.

|  |
| --- |
| **Mod\_16\_12: Recommended for Approval by unanimous vote** |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Alternate  | Approve |
| Iain Wright -Chair | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Julie-Anne Hannon | Supplier Alternate | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Niamh Quinn | Generator Member | Approve |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Approve |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Approve |

## IV. AOB/Upcoming Events

Modifications Committee Meeting 44 will take place on 25September in Dublin. (11th
September is the cut-off date for Modification Proposal submission).

Observer queried as to update on Mod\_18\_11 *Definition of Availability*. RA Alternate advised that no update is available. Observer expressed concern that proposal had been awaiting decision for a substantial amount of time.

Chair queried as to whether the Ulster Bank crisis had impacted on SEM. MO Member was not aware of any issues resulting from the Ulster Bank issue, but agreed to take the question back and investigate further. Chair suggested that SEMO assess the impact such a crisis could have on both SEMO and Market Participants should their banks become similarly impacted. SEMO agreed to take the comment back and discuss whether it was necessary to examine the current arrangements.

# 5. Appendices

## Appendix 1 - Secretariat Programme of Work

|  |
| --- |
| **Status as at 31 July 2012** |
| **FRRs ‘Recommended for Approval’ without systems impacts awaiting RA Decision** |
| **Title** | **Sections Modified** | **Sent** |
| Mod\_18\_11 Definition of ‘Availability’ | T&SC Glossary | 08 September 2011 |
| **FRRs ‘Recommended for Rejection’ awaiting RA Decision** |
| Mod\_10\_12 Amendment to Appendix P to ensure correct treatment of Interconnector Unit Offer Data | T&SC Section 6, Glossary, AP4, AP9 and AP15 | 19 June 2012 |
| Mod\_12\_12 Mandating adherence to the BCOP by all Price Maker Generator Units | T&SC Section4; T&SC Glossary  | 27 June 2012 |
| **RA Decision Approved Modifications with System Impacts** |
| **Title** | **Sections Modified** | **Effective Date** |
| Mod\_01\_11 UI Payments for Generator Units | T&SC Section 4 | October 2012 |
| Mod\_40\_10 Differentiation between Dwell Times and Dwell Trigger Points while ramping up and ramping down | T&SC Appendix I, N, O | October 2012  |
| Mod\_42\_10 Changes to the Single Ramp Up Rate and the Single Ramp Down Rate Calculation | T&SC Section 5, Appendix H, I, N & Glossary | October 2012  |
| Mod\_06\_11 Increasing Maximum Daily Submission Number and Automating Cancellation of Settlement Reallocation Agreements | AP 10 | October 2012 |
| Mod\_12\_11 Interconnector Unit Loss Adjustment when Exporting | T&SC Section 4 | October 2012 |
| Mod\_21\_11 UI Payments for generator units constrained on | T&SC Section 5 | October 2012 |
| Mod\_17\_11 Clarifying the requirement to provide Dispatch Instruction for Generator Units | T&SC Appendix O | April 2013 |
| Mod\_03\_12 Alignment of TSC with revised VAT arrangements | T&SC Section 6  | April 2013 |
| **RA Decision Approved Modifications without System Impacts** |
| Mod\_16\_11 Credit Worthiness Test for SEM Bank and Credit Cover Provider banks | T&SC Section 6 & Glossary | 01 May 2013 |
| **AP Notifications** |
| Mod\_06\_12\_Improved Efficiencies in LCF Process | AP7 | TBC |
| **CMS cut-off date** |
| Oct 2013 CMS Release | RA Decision Approved Mods only | 22 February 2013 |
| **Working Groups** |
| Mod\_11\_12 Proposal to extend definition of Special Units to include Compressed Air Energy Storage | Half day meeting, The Hilton, Belfast | 14 August 2012 |
| **T&SC Version 11 - July 2012** |
| Version 11.0 of the Code & Agreed Procedures published on 21 July 2012 |