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# SEMO Update

The Minutes from Meeting 58 were read and approved. Comments were received from the RAs and those changes have been noted and published online. The final approved version of the Minutes is now published on the SEMO website.

Supplier Member made a clarification that although Energia are not in favour of the principle of the Deed of Charge, they are willing to proceed with drafting of the deed.

Secretariat presented the Programme of Work.

Secretariat advised that Niamh Delaney from SEMO is currently on a career break. Secretariat welcomes Katia Compagnoni as MO Member with Chris Goodman as MO Alternate.

MO Member advised there was no CMS update other than to highlight that the cut-off date for October 2015 release is 6th March 2015 and that the May Release will be deployed on Friday 15th May.

Actions

* Participants to submit feedback within 2 weeks on the table circulated, by COB Friday 27th February –Open
* SEMO to facilitate a clarification session for Participants, to be organised by RAs, as soon as possible – In progress; date & scope of workshop to be circulated

Secretariat provided an update on the Legal advice re-tender advising that the tender process is complete and that A&L Goodbody have been awarded the contract. The contract date is TBC.

# Review of Actions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| Actions Recorded At previous meetings |
|  |
| Legal Support | * Re-tender for the provision of independent legal support  to be procured-**Closed -Tenders evaluated, A&L Goodbody selected; date of contract TBC**
 |
| REMIT | * SEMO to circulate the scope for REMIT reporting requirements for Participant review- **Closed-table circulated that explains the data fields that will be reported**
 |
| SEMO Update | * SEMO to circulate detail of the scope for Release 2.6.0 (May 2015)- **Scope has been circulated to RAs, will be published once approved**
 |
| Mod\_11\_12: Definition of Special Units | * TSO to procure Impact Assessment -**In progress**
* Secretariat to arrange for discussion of Mod\_11\_12 at the Grid Code Panel – **Closed**
 |
|  |
| Mod\_02\_13 Registration of Charges | * SEMO to continue to pursue Participants in relation to signing the Deeds of Charge- **Open**
 |
| * SEMO to consider any possible risks around currency cost issue in relation to Clause 10.1 Currency conversion and indemnity- **Closed**
 |
| * SEMO to consider potential removal of clause 7.4 Application of proceeds and how the expenses are recovered and whether there are any implications with removal of section - **Closed**
 |
| * SEMO to consider modification of definition from Secured obligations to Payment obligations to avoid confusion re. performance obligations being charged also - **Closed**
 |
| * External Counsel to be directed to update deed of charge taking into account the issues raised by Participants at the conference call, to be circulated for a 2 week review period- **Closed**
* Secretariat to convene conference call to discuss updated deed following Committee and WG member review period- **Closed**
 |
| Mod\_08\_14 Collateral Reserve Accounts | * SEMO Finance to revert to Committee with written response to questions regarding the SEM Bank and location of SEM Collateral Reserve Accounts- **Closed-written response from Danske Bank circulated**
 |
| Make Whole Payments Issue (Mods\_09\_14-Mod\_12\_14) | * Participants to submit any feedback and/or specific items for clarification in relation to the four proposals to Secretariat within 2 weeks (by 19th December)-**Closed**
* Proposer (RAs and Electroroute) to provide further clarification on proposals and responses to feedback submitted by Participants –**Closed**
* Secretariat to schedule Extraordinary meeting/call for mid January, following receipt of further clarification from proposers- **Closed, held on 10 Feb**
* SEMO to initiate IA-**Closed, results received**
* SEMO IT to obtain clarification from the vendor in relation to the deadline around  possible implementation of the changes in May (given approval of any modifications will not take place until January earliest)-**Closed**

**Actions recorded at the Conf Call 10th Feb:*** Secretariat to circulate MWP mod proposal IA results-**Closed, circulated**
* SEMO to draft overview of MWP in the SEM for Meeting 59**-Closed, presented at Meeting 59**
 |
| Mod\_13\_14 Registering DSUs as Predictable Price Takers  | * Participants to submit any feedback and/or specific items for clarification in relation to the proposal to Secretariat within 2 weeks (by 19th December) –**Closed**
* Proposer to provide responses to Participant feedback and a worked example of what is in the proposed modification- **Closed, Activation Energy response and worked example published and circulated**
 |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

# Deferred Modification Proposals

## [Mod\_11\_12](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_08_12%20MIUNs.docx) proposal to extend the definition of special units to include caes

Proposer: Gaelectric

Proposer unable to attend the meeting but has communicated that they wish to set up a meeting before the next Modifications Committee to discuss the possible ways of taking this modification forward. Committee were agreeable to close the action in relation to discussing the proposal at the grid Code. Secretariat advised that the action can be re-opened in the future if necessary.

Actions

* Meeting to take place before Meeting 60 between SO, MO & Proposer to discuss next steps

Decision

* The proposal was deferred

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred**  |

## II. Mod\_02\_13\_registration of charges

*Proposer: EirGrid*

Secretariat advised that the actions that were previously recorded at the conference call held on 1st December are all closed off and the corresponding sections have been removed from the updated deed. EirGrid legal representative advised that it should be possible to address the majority of the comments received from Viridian and AES, however this must be confirmed by Pinsent Masons. EirGrid legal representative further advised that in relation to the Notice of charge to Account Bank(s) letter, Viridian’s suggested removal of the clause stipulating that Participants must provide uncapped indemnities to the bank, would need to be assessed by Danske Bank themselves. MO Member advised that there will be no fees associated with Participants signing the deed of charge and that SEMO will continue to manage the process and cost of administering of the new deed of charge.. Generator Member expressed the view that the issue of small accounts had not been addressed sufficiently by SEMO. MO Member advised that a daily monitoring system is required to be put in place while the deeds have to be signed just once. Supplier Member sought clarification that all collateral reserve account will require a deed of charge to be signed. MO Member confirmed this. The Committee agreed that there should be a 1 week review period for the mark-ups of the deed that was submitted by Viridian and AES. Following this, Pinsent Masons are to be directed to draft a new deed incorporating Participant comments where possible, with a fee estimate to be provided in advance of the work being undertaken. The deed will then be submitted for a two week Committee review period with a conference call to be arranged following this. The proposal will be discussed again at Meeting 60 in April. Eirgrid legal representative advised that further modifications to the Code and APs will be necessary upon finalisation of the deed. Secretariat requested that all comments submitted in future should be material.

Actions

* Committee to review Viridian’s and AES’s suggested mark-up and comments on the Deed and submit comments by COB Friday 20 February- **Open**
* PM to be directed to draft Deed of Charge reflective of Viridian and AES comments where possible, to be circulated to the Committee & WG Members as soon as possible  – **Open (Rationale for comments not included to be provided)**
* Secretariat to convene conference call to discuss updated deed following Committee and WG member review period, preferably in advance of Meeting 60- Open-to be convened following review period- **Open**
* EirGrid legal/SEMO finance to circulate detail of how the registration process will be managed-**Open**
* EirGrid legal & SEMO to respond in writing as to why accounts used only for payment of small invoices should still be subjected to signing the Deed of Charge- **Open**

Decision

* The proposal was deferred

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred**  |

## III. Mod\_08\_14 Clarification of location of sem collateral reserve accounts

*Proposer: SEMO*

MO Member advised that SEMO finance contacted Dankse Bank representative who advised that there are no plans to open a branch in NI due to a number of commercially sensitive legal and regulatory reasons.

Observer drew attention to a previously submitted question from Viridian querying as to whether the sterling accounts for the CRAs could be held in the Dublin branch. MO Member advised that this had been previously addressed via a verbal update at a previous meeting advising that this would not be in accordance with the code and the timelines for transferring funds may not be met. Secretariat advised that this had formed part of the 7 issues submitted by Viridian for clarification and that the written response to the issues provided by SEMO Finance would be circulated subsequent to the meeting.

Actions

* SEMO Finance to circulate written responses to 7 questions previously submitted by Viridian- **Open**
* SEMO Finance to address issue of why the sterling accounts cannot be located in Dublin **– Open**

Decision

* The proposal was deferred

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

## make whole payments discussion (On all four Modification proposals 09\_14-12\_14)

A number of members and observers expressed concern at the lack of detailed response from the RAs. RA Member advised that they have provided their rationale for the proposals and these are now within the domain of the Modifications Committee. It is up to the Committee to deliberate the issues and decide how best to proceed. RA Member welcomed Committee views on what the RAs could have done differently when submitting their two proposals on MWPs.

IC representative proposer expressed the view that there is a need for a higher level of engagement in the discussion from the RAs as proposer. Supplier Member expressed the view that what the RAs have done when raising the proposals is absolutely correct, however expressed the view of the necessity for the RAs to engage in the discussion as proposer of 2 of the MWP proposals. RA Member advised that some of the questions posed were fundamental market design issues, and that these concerns are best addressed by the Modifications Committee.

MO Member delivered a [presentation](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/SEMO%20presentation%20on%20MWP_Publish.ppt) covering the mechanism of Make Whole Payments (MWPs) in the SEM. This presentation covered the rationale behind the payment and also provided Market Operator comments regarding the four relevant modifications. MO Member advised that for the purposes of the Code, MWPs was intended to be an occasional payment in the exceptional circumstances where costs are not recovered, through energy payments and uplift. Units with Negative schedules, such as Pumped Storage or IC units exporting, are instead exposed to Uplift and they might not recover their costs; however the IC users is considered equivalent to a Generator Unit not the individual IC Gate Unit. Gate Units were created to respond to the IDT design need to firm schedule amount for IC in each Gate. MO Member further advised that the MWP amounts that are paid out on a weekly basis, are then recovered through the imperfections charge and provided examples of current payments for sample units. Questions were taken throughout and after the presentation addressing the various positions regarding these modifications with a separate afternoon session to continue the discussion.

Observer asked whether increases in MWP were due to IDT. MO member showed in the graph that the increase was not a direct consequence of IDT because it happened nearly one year after IDT went live. MO Member also explained the unusual nature of the highest payments all referring to the Ex-Ante 2 Gate of the same Party; MWP were not intended to be a regular payment stream.

MO alternate said that large increase of MWP seemed to coincide with increase in netting import and export volumes at different Gates. Observer replied this was due to the growth in the company.

DSU Member queried as to where constraint payments fit into the MWP mechanism. MO Member advised that constraints do not fit in to the MWP mechanism and that just the energy payments are taken in consideration.

Generator Member sought clarification in relation to unlimited exports of IC Users due to superpositioning introduced by IDT, querying as to whether IC Users are limited as to the amount an IC User can import and export. MO Member advised that as long as there is enough capacity on the opposite side, IC Users are not limited by IC capacity due to superpositioning.

IC representative proposer drew attention to disjointed price signals within the SEM and the significant ex-post price risk IC Units face when exporting however expressed agreement that there is an issue that needs to be addressed. MO Alternate drew attention to the issue of IC User’s bids import bids being lower than export bids. MO Member advised that other exporters on EWIC do that without the need for MWPs. GU member said that they were the 2nd largest exporter on IC and they did not get MWP. IC representative proposer expressed the view that it is the nature of the self-dispatch versus central dispatch aspect of the market and they would welcome a debate on this.

Discussion ensued in relation to netting volumes, IC representative proposer explained that the import would be paid SMP and the export paid via MWPs at a different lower rate, and that does amount to a difference in payments. MO member advised that this did not affected recovery of costs; netting would still allow MWP where necessary as demonstrated in the presentation graphs. Discussions continued on the nature of costs to be recovered via Uplift that are specific to standard Generator and whether IC Users should rely on MWP or they should find ways of edging their risks differently. Observer questioned whether Suppliers should be getting MWP as well given that IC users act as a Supplier when exporting.

In conclusion of the morning session the Committee rejected proposals MOD\_10\_14 Removal of MWPs for IC Units and MOD\_11\_14 Pay as bid/Paid as bid for IC Units on the basis that neither were considered viable solutions to the issue identified, leaving two remaining modifications for further discussion following the break, Mod\_09\_14 and Mod\_12\_14.

Chair drew reference to the issue of discrimination that had previously been raised by ElectroRoute, advising that as stated in the SEMO MWP slides, APTG, VPTG and PPTG’s do not receive MWPs and observer mentioned that it is common to have different rules for different unit types.

On the recommendation of the Chair, the afternoon session aimed to discuss the different variants between the two remaining modifications. Chair queried as to whether SEMO had a preference between the 2 proposals that remain open for discussion. MO Member expressed the view that it is up to the Committee to decide on the most suitable approach and the MO can facilitate any of the proposed MODs. IC representative proposer expressed IC representative proposer preference for Mod\_12\_14.

Supplier Member drew attention to the urgency of the necessity to address the issue. Chair agreed and expressed the view that the Committee have recognised the urgency. IC representative proposer advised that they welcome the debate and are of the view of a need for an appropriate forum with input from the RAs to be established for the relevant parties to deliberate the fundamental issues. Generator Member expressed the view that a Working Group would not be beneficial as there would be no additional information for this specific issue and that an Extraordinary meeting would be a more appropriate forum. Further advised that the SEMO presentation was very useful in assisting in understanding the background to MWPs. The Committee were in agreement that a WG would not be beneficial and agreed to convene an Extraordinary Meeting.

Supplier Member proposed suggestion of taking the shadow price instead of Market Offer Price in addition to the aggregation proposed in MOD\_09\_14 which may address IC Users concerns. Generator Member drew attention to potential amendments to Mod\_12\_14 on a trading period basis.

Chair requested inputs and comments from other IC users. Observer said that they were satisfied that MOD\_10\_09 had been rejected and that they would agree with either of the remaining options.

MO Alternate advised that the issue is that, removing TPs of simultaneous Import and Export, could carry an under or over-recovery that is then disregarded. Observer agreed with MO alternate that MOD\_12\_14 would undo IDT by limiting freedom of trades between Gates.

Observer advised that the trading of exports on the IC is a separate issue. Observer acknowledged that there are flaws within the SEM.

Following this discussion it was agreed by the Committee that the appropriate next steps would be to allow two weeks to develop the proposed alternative version of MOD\_09\_14 by Supply Member and a potential new version of Mod\_12\_14 by the proposer encompassing the suggestions discussed during the meeting. Both proposers have agreed to engage with SEMO in order to progress an Impact Assessment of the revised Mods and to discuss potential consequences of the changes. Before the next meeting an Extraordinary meeting will also be held to discuss the modifications with a view to progressing this issue. Actions are recorded under the respective Modification Proposals below.

## II. Mod\_09\_14  [Amendment to Make Whole Payments for Interconnector Units](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Mod_09_14%20Amendment%20to%20MWPs%20for%20IC%20Units.docx)

*Proposer: RAs*

Same as above.

***Actions***

* Electric Ireland to liaise with SEMO as appropriate in order to develop version 2 of this modification within 2 weeks
* Secretariat to schedule Extraordinary Meeting in advance of April Modifications Committee Meeting
* SEMO to initiate IA for alternative versions

Decision

* The proposal was deferred

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

## III. Mod\_10\_14 make whole payments for interconnector units

*Proposer: RAs*

Committee were in agreement to reject the proposal.

***Actions***

* Secretariat to draft FRR

Decision

* The proposal was rejected

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Rejection by Unanimous Vote**  |
| Áine Dorran | Generator Member | Rejected |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Member | Rejected |
| Connor Powell | Supplier Member | Rejected |
| Kevin Hannafin-Chair | Generator Member | Rejected |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Member | Rejected |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Rejected |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Rejected |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Rejected |

## IV. Mod\_11\_14 pay-as-bid/paid-as-bid for Interconnector units

*Proposer:Electroroute*

Committee were in agreement to reject the proposal.

***Actions***

* Secretariat to draft FRR

Decision

* The proposal was rejected

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Rejection by Majority Vote**  |
| Áine Dorran | Generator Member | Rejected |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Member | Defer |
| Connor Powell | Supplier Member | Rejected |
| Kevin Hannafin-Chair | Generator Member | Rejected |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Member | Rejected |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Defer |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Rejected |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Rejected |

## V. Mod\_12\_14 Amendment to Make Whole Mechanism to remove Settlement Periods of simultaneous import and export flows

Proposer: Electroroute

Discussion documented above.

***Actions***

* Electroroute to liaise with SEMO as appropriate in order to develop version 2 of this modification within 2 weeks
* Actions as per Mod\_09\_14 set out above

Decision

* The proposal was deferred

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

## vi. Mod\_13\_14 DSUs as Price Takers

*Proposer: Activation Energy (Enernoc)*

MO Member provided an update advising that the relevant flags allowing change of unit type are not available for DSUs and that the previous impact assessment was incorrect. It would be a much more significant change than originally anticipated due to large redesign to the interfaces and database required.

In addition to that further considerations should be given by the proposer on how the unit should be treated for each payment calculation and a revised version of the Mod should capture this.

Proposer advised that the purpose of the proposal is to allow for a group of energy users who would be available to the market but without priority dispatch. Proposer advised of preference to work with the SOs to come up with a workaround (need to be treated as price takers) limiting the changes to the Market. Committee decided to defer proposal

to allow for further discussions to ascertain whether a workaround could be progressed or if a new proposal should be drafted.

***Actions***

* Proposer to discuss potential workaround with SOs and MO

Decision

* The proposal was deferred

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

# I. new Modification Proposals

## I. Mod\_01\_15 Tolerance for Metered Volume changes in settlement queries

Proposer SEMO

MO Member advised that the proposal is being raised in order to remove the obligation on Meter Data Providers (MDP) to raise a Settlement Query where as a result of a discovered error the net change in Meter Data Volumes is within +/- 1MWh per settlement day per unit. Currently MDPs have an obligation to submit these queries further advising that there were 63 instances in last 2 years with minimal difference in resettlement which results in an insufficient utilisation of resources.

Committee were in agreement with the mod on the basis that the MDP will notify the Participant, especially after M+13 run. Secretariat drew attention to typo in the proposal advising it will also be addressed in the legal drafting.

Legal drafting changes were agreed as follows:

* When generating a Settlement Query or Dispute, Meter Data Providers may apply a tolerance where the net change in Meter Data Volumes, as a result of a Discovered Error, is within +/- 1MWh per settlement day per unit, provided that this is communicated to the affected unit.”
* Removal of “less than”
* Insertion of notification of the Participant

***Actions***

* Secretariat to publish AP Notification

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval (subject to legal drafting)

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Approval by Unanimous Vote**  |
| Adelle Watson | MDP Member | Approved |
| Áine Dorran | Generator Member | Approved |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Member | Approved |
| Connor Powell | Supplier Member | Approved |
| Emma Morris | SO Alternate | Approved |
| Gerry Halligan | MDP Member | Approved |
| Katia Compagnoni | SO Alternate | Approved |
| Kevin Hannafin-Chair | Generator Member | Approved |
| Marc Senouci | SO Alternate | Approved |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Member | Approved |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Approved |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Approved |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Approved |

## II. Mod\_02\_15 permitting dsus registrants to register as either a tssu or asu in the sem

Proposer RAs

MO Member advised that activation Energy had raised the same proposal in 2009 as MOD\_30\_09 with a working Group held to discuss the issue where it was agreed that it was not a valid option. Proposer had requested to withdraw the proposal in accordance with Section 2.188A of the Code. DSU member proposed a different approach to registration in order to remove the obligation to check for Supplier Licence when registering a TSSU as a requirement for DSU registration.

RA observer said they just received this proposal and they are actively considering it.

***Actions***

* Secretariat to publish Withdrawal Notification

Decision

* The proposal was withdrawn

|  |
| --- |
| **Withdrawn** |

# AOB/upcoming events

Calendar updates

* Placeholders will be sent for the remaining committee meetings for 2015 shortly. The next meeting will be Wednesday 15th April in The Spencer Hotel, Dublin.
* Placeholder for Extraordinary Meeting to discuss MWPs issue will be circulated-to take place in advance of April meeting
* Placeholder for conference call to discuss the updated deed of charge in relation to Mod\_02\_13 Registration of Charges to be issued

# Appendices

## Appendix 1 - Secretariat Programme of Work

|  |
| --- |
| **Status as at 12th February 2015** |
| **FRRs ‘Recommended for Approval’ without systems impacts awaiting RA Decision** |
| **Title** | **Sections Modified** | **Sent** |
| Mod\_18\_11 Definition of ‘Availability’ | T&SC Glossary | 08 September 2011 |
| **RA Decision ‘Further Work Required’** |
| Mod\_21\_12 Amendment to Available Transfer Capacity (ATC) definition | T&SC Section 5; T&SC Appendix K  | 21 November 2012 |
| **RA Decision Approved Modifications with System Impacts** |
| **Title** | **Sections Modified** | **Effective Date** |
| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| **RA Decision Approved Modifications without System Impacts** |
| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| **AP Notifications** |
| N/A | N/A | N/A |
| **Modification Proposal Extensions** |
| Mod\_11\_12 Proposal to extend definition of Special Units to include Compressed Air Energy Storage | Extension Granted | 31 April 2015 |
| Mod\_02\_13 Registration of Charges  | Extension Granted | 31 August 2015 |
| Mod\_12\_13 Mod 12\_13 Amendment to Special Units Pumped Storage definition to include energy storage | Extension Granted | 30 January 2015 |
| * **Cut-off date for October 2015 release is 6th March 2015**
* **May Release is scheduled for 15th May 2015**
 |