

**SEM R2.1.0 (October 2012 Release)**

#### Change Control Forum (CCF) Meeting 1 - Minutes

#### Date: December 20th 2011 15:00 – 16:00

|  |
| --- |
| **Meeting Attendees** |
| Sean Mackin (CCF Chair) | SEMO  |
| Nigel Thomson | SEMO |
| Mary Doyle | SEMO |
| Dermot Barry | SEMO |
| Andrew Tait | SEMO |
| Ciara Corby | Eirgrid |
| Emeka Chukwureh | Airtricity |
| Hannah McGarrigle | Airtricity |
| Carly Hennessy | Ventyx |
| John Cooper  | Ventyx |
| Matt Reid | ESB |
| Brian Mongan | AES |
| Nigel Holdsworth | ESB |
| Sinead O’ Hare | NIE Energy |
| Paul McGuckin | Mutual Energy |

###### Introduction – Sean Mackin, CCF Chair

**1.1 SDS / CCF Overview**

The Chair provided a brief overview of the SEM Design Service (SDS) and the function and mandate of the Change Control Forum (CCF).

* 1. **Release Capacity allocated to date – Sean Mackin**

With respect to the SEM R2.1.0 release capacity, the Chair gave an overview of those changes (approved Modification Proposals) which have already been included in scope. The following table summarises the capacity allocation for the release and the remainder available:

|  |
| --- |
| **Modification Proposals** |
| **CR Ref.** | **Mod. Ref.** | **Description** | **Vendor** **Hours** |
| SEM\_PC\_CR255 | 42\_10 | Single Ramp Rate | 164 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR258 | 01\_11 | Changes to UNIMP for Over-Generation | 380 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR259 | 06\_11 | SRA Cancellation through the MPI | 476 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR274 | 10\_11 | Interconnector Under Test | 416 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR275 | 12\_11 | Interconnector Losses | 424 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR254 | 40\_10 | Dwell Times While Ramping | 796 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR280 | 21\_11 | UI Payments for ELUs Constrained On | 208 |
|  |  | Total Modification Capacity Usage | 2,864 |
|  |  | Modification Capacity Surplus | 566 |
| **Non-T&SC Change Requests** |
|  |  | Unused capacity from Modifications stream | 566 |
|  |  | Non-T&SC Capacity Allocation | 858 |
|  |  | **Total Remaining Capacity Available** | **1424** |

**Table 1: Total Release Capacity allocation to date for the SEM R2.1.0 release**

###### SEMO Change Requests – SEMO Market Operations

An overview of each non-T&SC Change Request raised by SEMO for consideration for the October 2012 release scope was provided by Mary Doyle, Market Operations. The following table outlines the Change Requests proposed by SEMO for consideration:

|  |
| --- |
| **Change Requests Proposed by SEMO** |
| **CR Ref.** | **System** | **Description** | **Priority** | **Vendor** **Hours** |
| SEM\_PC\_CR266 | MI | Change of Effective Date in MPI | High | TBC  |
| SEM\_PC\_CR267 | MA | Wind and Load Forecast Data | High | TBC  |
| SEM\_PC\_CR262 | MI | Unit Under Test Submission Screen | High | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR263 | MI/POMAX | POMAX Does not read updates From MPI | High | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR207 | Settlements | Automation of FMOC Calculation | High | 90 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR261 | Settlements | Removal of Orphan Trading Site Settlement Points | High | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR286 | MA | Publication of zero IUNs when no PQ pairs available | Medium | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR271  | Axapta, MI, CRM | Automated FX download to Central Market Systems | Medium | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR272  | Axapta | Amalgamation of payments for multiple SBIs per PT | Medium | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR265 | Pomax | Task Functionality | Medium | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR168 | STTL | Unnecessary File Import Type selection | Medium | 52 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR231 | MI/Settlements | MI-STL Daily Push | Medium | Option1) 220Option2) 464 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR264 | Pomax | Batch Functionality | Medium |   |

**Table 2: Change Requests proposed by SEMO for consideration.**

###### Participant Change Requests – Market Participants

Each Participant sourced Change Request was considered, with each relevant Market Participant outlining the benefit and rationale of their own proposed change.

The following table outlines the Change Requests put forward by Market Participants for discussion:

|  |
| --- |
| **Change Requests Proposed by Market Participants** |
| **CR Ref.** | **System** | **Description** | **Priority** | **Vendor** **Hours** |
| SEM\_PC\_CR260 | MI | Additional MI-AMP feed | High | 480 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR224 | STL | Type 3 statement version identification | High | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR188 | MI | Include a "Download" in PDF" option for SEMO published Invoices | Medium | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR189 | MI | Invoices Filter Checkbox Change | Medium |  TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR198 | MI | Additional COD Validations | Medium | 652 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR193 | MI | TLAF publishing in the MPI | Medium | 432 |
| SEM\_PC\_CR204 | Settlements | MGR report inclusion of resource type | Medium |  TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR205 | MI | MPI Weblink User Access to SRAs | Medium |  TBC |

**Table 3: Change Requests proposed by Participants for consideration.**

The following points summarise the discussion in relation to those Change Requests as listed in Table 3.

* Participants queried why some Change Requests had hour-allocations set to “TBC” and why SEMO had not automatically assessed all Change Requests submitted.

In response, The Chair clarified that, as there is a cost associated with assessing Change Requests, it may be determined at CCF Meeting 1 that some Change Requests may not be worth progressing at this stage. The purpose of Meeting 1 is to agree which CR’s should be put forward for vendor impact assessment.

* Participants queried what happens if the full release capacity is not utilised.

In response, The Chair clarified that unused release capacity can be carried over to a subsequent release and this has occurred in previous releases.

* Although no representative was in attendance from the organisation that had previously submitted CR189, Airtricity and AES supported it to go forward for vendor impact assessment.
* CR188 was supported by Airtricity to go forward for vendor impact assessment.
* In relation to CR198, AES commented that 100 Euros/MWh was too low a value for coal and NIE Energy agreed that this was probably not suitable. It was agreed that all suggested parameter values, as specified in CR198, should be reviewed and agreed should this Change Request be subsequently voted for approval by the CCF.
* As there were no representatives present from the organisation that had previously submitted CR204 and CR205 it was agreed at the CCF that these would not be sent forward for vendor impact assessment.
1. **Change Requests agreed to be sent for Vendor Impact Assessment**

The following table outlines the list of Change Requests, as agreed at the meeting, to be sent forward for vendor impact assessment and to progress to Meeting 2 of the CCF for the October 2012 release:

|  |
| --- |
| **Provisional Prioritisation List as Proposed by SEMO** |
| **Cr Ref** | **System** | **Description** | **Priority** | **Vendor** **Hours** |
| SEM\_PC\_CR266 | MI | Change of Effective Date in MPI | **High** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR267 | MA | Wind and Load Forecast Data | **High** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR263 | MI/POMAX | POMAX does not read updates from MPI | **High** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR261 | Settlements | Removal of orphan Trading Site Settlement Points | **High** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR286 | MA | Publication of Zero IUNs when no PQ Pairs available | **Medium** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR271 | Axapta, MI, CRM | Automated Exchange Rate Download to Central Market Systems | **Medium** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR272 | Axapta | Amalgamation of payments for multiple SBIs per PT | **Medium** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR265 | Pomax | Task Functionality | **Medium** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR264 | Pomax | Batch Functionality | **Medium** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR188 | MI | Include a “Download in PDF” option for SEMO published Invoices | **Medium** | TBC |
| SEM\_PC\_CR189 | MI | Invoices Filter Checkbox Change | **Medium** | TBC |

**Table 4: Change Requests to be put forward for vendor impact assessment.**

1. **Next Steps/Actions**
* SEMO to circulate minutes of the CCF Meeting of December 20th.
* SEMO to receive Impact Assessments for the above Change Requests by January 20th.
* SEMO to schedule the next meeting on receipt of Impact Assessments from the CMS vendors.